DESIGN OF SANDWICH STRUCTURES WITH CURVATURE
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SUMMARY: In this paper, we present a simple and efficient post-processing method in finite element computations for the analysis of sandwich structures. This method is based on Reissner’s functional which is applied locally at interfaces between layers whose mechanical properties are very different.

The method, valid in 2D as well as in 3D, is assessed in 2D for the computation of an U-type sandwich beam for which both the ratio of Young’s modulus of the skin to that of the core and the radius of curvature vary.

This example points out that the present method can be used for the design of complex structures based on finite element analysis owing to its numerical stability, i.e. its results remain accurate even using coarse meshes.
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INTRODUCTION

The rise of interest for new materials in structural design leads to a real need of powerful analysis tools able to take their particular performances correctly into account.

The advantages of sandwich structures are nowadays well understood and they have already become important structural components in design, especially in the field of aeronautics. But progresses in polymer science and manufacturing processes have spread their use to a large range of situations (automotive industry, building construction...) because they yield more optimized sandwich structures in terms of mechanical performances, integration of functions as well as design shapes. Nevertheless, their numerical modeling still suffers from a lack of accuracy.

A sandwich structure is a 3-layer laminated one, whose layers have very different geometrical and mechanical properties: the skins, which are generally thins and very stiff, work in membrane, while the core, which has low stiffness and density, is subjected to shear efforts. It
yields quite particular behaviour and failure modes (crack modes, instability modes, local denting...) [1]. The modeling based on classical finite elements (in displacements) leads to a poor accuracy of the force equilibrium at the interfaces between the skins and the core.

The main points which have to be fulfilled to get a correct modeling are the following:

- transverse shear effects are taken into account: this point is extremely important since the core is only subjected to shear loading;
- the continuity of displacements and the equilibrium state of stresses at each interface of layers must be satisfied;
- large differences of the geometrical and mechanical properties between layers are correctly modelled.

Among all the methods used to analyse the mechanical behaviour of structures, the finite element method is the preferred one, and among all the different elements, based on various principles, the most used ones still remain based on the displacement field. In order to propose an useful tool, we decided to focus on the development of a post-processing method, which could be used in conjunction with the classical displacement based finite element method, and therefore be easily implemented in existing programs.

The post-processing method should be simple enough to permit an easy implementation in existing software and take the aforementioned points into account, i.e. represents the shear behaviour of the sandwich plate in a more realistic way and respects the skin/core interface balance.

During the primary steps of the design process, i.e. when checking for different solutions, designers cannot use very time consuming models, and therefore use coarse meshes. Nevertheless, they need significant values of the design parameters in order to take right decisions. Within these quantities, the interlaminar stresses are of great importance in the design of structures making use of highly heterogeneous structures such as sandwiches. But such stress components can generally only be reached with very refined meshes. The aim of the present method is to yields accurate results even with coarse meshes.

**POST-PROCESSING: ‘LOCAL REISSNER’ METHOD**

Reliable stress values by finite element analysis can be achieved in different ways. When using mixed, hybrid or mixed-hybrid elements, stresses can appear as nodal unknowns which can then be found directly, but the number of nodal unknowns can be dramatically high. When classical displacements elements are used, a post-processing method is needed to recover stresses from the previously obtained nodal displacements. In this case, stresses are generally computed at Gauss points from which they are extrapolated to the nodes.

In this paper, we focus on the displacement based elements, which are the most used ones, and which are known, when using a classical post-processing method, to converge towards Reissner's solution [2]. Nevertheless, in this case a very fine and regular mesh must be used. The aim of the proposed improvement is to improve the convergence rate with respect to the mesh.

There are many different methods to compute stresses from the computed displacement field: the stress projection method, the least-squares minimization [3] which can all be performed globally (on the whole structure) or locally (within each element) [2].
The specific problem we face with sandwiches is to accurately compute stresses at interfaces between very different materials, but bonded together. We must keep in mind that only some components of these stresses must be continuous at interfaces as illustrated in Fig. 1 in 2D, where $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are two regions of a given body, $\mathbf{n}$ the normal vector at interface and $\mathbf{\sigma}_i$ the stress vector.
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Fig.1: continuities at an interface

Hence, the simplest idea is to find the stress field directly using Reissner’s formulation in each element, which means that nodal stresses are computed using the following relation:

\[ \{\tau\} = [A]^{-1}[B]\{q\} \quad (1) \]

with:

\[ [A] = + \int_{\Omega} [N_\sigma]^T [S] [N_\sigma] d\Omega \quad (2) \]

\[ [B] = + \int_{\Omega} [N_\sigma]^T [L] [N_\sigma] d\Omega \quad (3) \]

where $[N_\sigma]$ and $[N_\sigma]$ the shape functions matrices for displacements and stresses respectively, $[L]$ the differential operator relating displacements to strains. $\Omega$ is the surface (or volume) of interest for the computation, $\{q\}$ and $\{\tau\}$ the vectors of nodal displacements and stresses. The detail of the present method is presented in [4].

It remains the choice of $\Omega$ in Eqns 2 and 3:

- When $\Omega$ represents the whole structure, then the method is equivalent to the use of Reissner’s mixed elements, where displacements and stresses are nodal unknowns. In this case, a large matrix is involved.
- When $\Omega$ is one element, then we have to average the results at nodes that belong to more than one element. This is generally a reliable method when material properties remain unchanged, but when we face a discontinuity in the material properties between two adjacent elements (one belonging to a skin, the other to the core for example), this averaging method only leads to accurate results if a regular and fine mesh is used.
For this reason, we chose a third way: to apply the Reissner’s formulation only where it is needed, in other words at the interfaces between the different layers. This means that we apply the aforementioned formulae on two adjacent elements located on each side of an interface: $\Omega$ in Eqns 2 and 3 represents the volume (or surface) of two elements on each side of an interface, one belonging to a skin, the other to the core. This method will be referred to as the ‘Local Reissner’ method.

The main advantages of this method are that:

- only small matrices are involved in the computation;
- the stresses are directly computed at interfaces without averaging data, contrary to what is done in classical methods;
- the stress balance is fulfilled on the whole region containing the interface;
- the programming of the method and its implementation in existing finite element packages is simple: results given in the following have been obtained using a macro command implemented in the ANSYS v5.3 FEM package.

The elements used in this paper are Serendip 8 nodes quadrilaterals, i.e. quadratic shapes functions are used in both directions of the plane (we only present planar results for sake of simplicity).

**ANALYSIS OF A U-TYPE BEAM**

**Presentation**

We propose to study an ‘‘U-type beam’’ illustrated in Fig. 2.

$H=2$ mm and $L=20$ mm are constants, but the radius $R_{int}$ varies from 5 to 0.125 (leading to a ratio $R/H$ between 3 and 0.5625). This is the important point of the study since we know that decreasing this ratio is equivalent to getting closer to a singularity. The core represents 80% of the total height of this symmetrical sandwich.

The skins are made of unidirectional glass/epoxy T300-914 ($E_{11s}=40$ GPa, $E_{22s}=E_{33s}=10$ GPa, $\nu_{12s}=\nu_{13s}=\nu_{23s}=0.3$ and $G_{12s}=G_{13s}=G_{23s}=4$ Mpa). The material properties of the core vary: $\nu_c=0.4$ is constant, but $E_c$ takes the following values: 3400 MPa (epoxy), 340 MPa (foam), 140 MPa (medium foam) and 70 MPa (soft foam). The applied lateral force is $F=1$ N/mm (the thickness of the beam is equal to 1 mm). By symmetry, only one half of the beam has been modeled.

In the following, arabic numbers ‘1’ and ‘2’ (instead of letters ‘x’ and ‘y’) stand for local coordinates system, where ‘1’ indicates the direction of the interface at the considered point, ‘2’ the orthogonal direction (for simplicity, we stay to 2D), whereas ‘x’ and ‘y’ denote global coordinate system.
The characteristics of the meshes are the following:

- **target**: 2 elements through the thickness of each skin, 16 through the thickness of the core, \( nrcuts \) longitudinal cuts for the inner quarter of circle, 2 \( nrcuts \) for the outer quarter of circle, and \( ncuts \) longitudinal cuts for the length \( L \).
- **comparison purpose**: 1 element through the thickness of each skin, 2 through the thickness of the core, and \( nrcuts \) longitudinal cuts for both inner and outer quarters of circle, and \( ncuts \) longitudinal cuts for the length \( L \).

The values used for \( ncuts \) and \( nrcuts \) for different values of \( R/H \) ratio are given in Table 1. They yield meshes having the same shape as in Fig. 3.

### Table 1: parameters of meshes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( R_{int} )</th>
<th>( R/H )</th>
<th>reference</th>
<th>computation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( ncuts )</td>
<td>( nrcuts )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.5625</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results and discussion

The points retained in this analysis are the points A, B and C shown in Fig. 2.

The results are presented in terms of interlaminar stresses at these points (\( \sigma_{22} \) and \( \sigma_{12} \)) when both material (ratio of Young’s moduli \( E_{11}/E_c \)) and geometrical properties (ratio \( R/H \)) vary.
Fig. 3: mesh of the quarter of circle (case $R_{int}=0.75$)

In the figures presenting the results (Figs. 4 to 8), the following legend is used:

- **ANSYS, $E_{11}/E_c = 11.8$**
- **Local Reissner, $E_{11}/E_c = 11.8$**
- **ANSYS, $E_{11}/E_c = 118$**
- **Local Reissner, $E_{11}/E_c = 118$**
- **ANSYS, $E_{11}/E_c = 286$**
- **Local Reissner, $E_{11}/E_c = 286$**
- **ANSYS, $E_{11}/E_c = 571$**
- **Local Reissner, $E_{11}/E_c = 571$**

Fig. 4: $\sigma_{22} / \sigma_{22}^{tar}$ at point A versus $R/H$
Fig. 5: $\frac{2\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{11\text{core}}^{\text{tar}} - \sigma_{22}^{\text{tar}}}$ at point A versus $R/H$

Fig. 6: $\frac{\sigma_{22}}{\sigma_{22}^{\text{tar}}}$ at point B versus $R/H$
Fig. 7: $\sigma_{22} / \sigma_{22}^{\text{tar}}$ at point C versus $R/H$

Fig. 8: $\sigma_{12} / \sigma_{12}^{\text{tar}}$ at point C versus $R/H$
All these figures (4 to 8) illustrate the fact that the local Reissner method is not sensitive to singularities:

- Fig. 4 illustrates the fact that $\sigma_{22}/\sigma_{22}^{\text{tar}}$ at point A remains close to one, even if it get a little bit worst when approaching the singularity, whereas ANSYS strongly diverges. The same conclusion can be drawn at point B (see Fig. 6) and at other points which are not reported in this study.

- Fig. 5 show that $2\sigma_{12}/(\sigma_{11}^{\text{core}} - \sigma_{22}^{\text{tar}})$ at point A is always close to zero, whereas ANSYS (least-squares and nodal averaging) diverges. This phenomenon is a little bit less sensitive at points located on the other side of the core, because the core damps it.

- Fig. 8 presenting $\sigma_{12}/\sigma_{12}^{\text{tar}}$ at point C exhibits numerical problems for different values of $R/H$ ratio. These problems, which are encountered in the same way at others locations (point B for example), are not present when using the local Reissner method, which gives stable results.

A complete study of a simply supported sandwich beam submitted to an uniform pressure on its top face and full comparison with ANSYS (in terms of mesh refinement, element types, influence of $E_s/E_c$ ratio) can be found in [5]. Others examples, such as a sandwich plate or an adhesive joint, can be found for example in [4,6]. They all demonstrate the improvements brought by the local Reissner method: speed up of the convergence rate and numerical accuracy and stability.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we have pointed out that the computation of stresses at interfaces can be improved by the local Reissner method. The computation cost of this method remains low, because it is only performed along interfaces, on two adjacent elements, where the displacement methods is not able to give good results.

The local Reissner method is particularly interesting when dealing with multi-layered structures having very heterogeneous mechanical and geometrical properties, such as sandwiches.

From the treated examples, we can conclude that the local Reissner method:

- improves convergence (which permits to use coarse meshes);
- is more stable;
- is more accurate.

It is also important to note its simplicity of formulation and of implementation, even in existing finite element programs.

The last point is that the present formulation can be applied every time that an interface between two different materials is encountered, the method having been shown to be particularly robust when the differences in the mechanical properties between the layers are very important.

The present method is an interesting tool for engineers involved in the design of structures using any highly-heterogeneous multi-layered materials such as sandwiches and adhesive
joints, but also any structure containing interfaces between materials having very heterogeneous mechanical properties.
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