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Abstract

Recently, short fiber reinforced composites are widely utilized in many structural parts in automobile, infrastructure and electric equipment applications due to their high specific strength, high specific stiffness, long fatigue life and high impact resistance as well as cost effective capability of production. If these parts are exposed to low-velocity impact, significant damages may develop inside the composite parts. These damages may cause reduction of mechanical performances of composite parts. Therefore, predictive analytical or numerical tools are required to evaluate and analyze the integrity of composite parts with impact damages.

In this study, a user-defined material subroutine incorporating damage mechanisms of short fiber reinforced composites was developed adopting and modifying various damage models. Then, the subroutine is implemented into ABAQUS to conduct analysis of short fiber reinforced composites parts. The results were compared with those without considering damage mechanism.

1. Introduction

One of major issues in today’s automobile and electric home appliance industries is developing stronger and lighter products. In response to the demands, light weight and high specific strength fiber reinforced composites are being applied extensively. According to the types of fiber, fiber reinforced composites are divided into long fiber reinforced composites and short fiber reinforced composites. Compared to short fiber reinforced composites, long fiber reinforced composites have superior mechanical properties in the fiber direction and usually used for high performance products such as aerospace applications. However, their fabrication processes are complicated and require sophisticated facilities. Also, it is difficult to implement them to mass production processes. On the contrary, the short fiber reinforced composites is inferior to long fiber reinforced composites in mechanical performances, but they can be shaped into variety of forms and is easy to apply to resin injection procedures, thus it is easier to implement them to mass productions. For these reasons, it is reasonable to apply short fiber reinforced composites to some automobile parts and electric home appliance products, in which mass production is essential. For example, metal parts in the automobile such as intake manifolds, junction boxes, HVAC (Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning) cases, engine gaskets and various structural parts have been replaced with equivalent stiffness short fiber nylon composites for weight reduction. In mobile phones, emphasizing slimness, traditional magnesium cases are replaced with short fiber reinforced Polyphthalamime resin for efficient mass production.

As short fiber reinforced composite products are being developed actively throughout industries, it is necessary to understand the properties and behaviors of short fiber reinforced composites from the designer’s viewpoint. In addition, for the reliability of short fiber reinforced composite products, the integrity of the products under impact conditions must be considered. Unfortunately recent commercial packages do not provide accurate damage process of short fiber reinforced composites. Therefore, predictive analytical or numerical tools are required to evaluate and analyze the integrity of composite parts with impact damages.

In order to understand the behaviors of short fiber reinforced composites under impact loading, understanding of micromechanics and fracture mechanics associated with of short fiber reinforced composites is needed. The short fiber reinforced
composites have the characteristic of heterogeneous materials in which short fibers are arranged disorderly in the random directions on homogeneous matrix. In addition, due to perturbed strain between the fiber and the matrix and interaction effect among fibers, the general elastoplastic theories of homogeneous materials can not be applied as they are. To overcome such difficulties, Ju and Chen [1-3] averaged the whole of the components by applying the concept of mesoscopic representative volume element to short fiber reinforced composite composed of two phases, namely, matrix and inclusion. They proposed an effective constitutive equation that can homogenize the properties of heterogeneous materials. Based on the constitutive equation, Ju and Tseng [4] explained elastoplastic behavior through an effective yield criterion that adopted stress norm averaged as a whole at an arbitrary position inside short fiber reinforced composites. In addition, phenomena appearing in the damage mechanism of short fiber reinforced composites are divided largely into the interfacial debonding between the matrix and fibers, fracture of fiber, and fracture of matrix. Particularly, because interfacial debonding among the components brings microcracks inside the composite, it is the most important factor to be considered in fracture mechanism. For a quantitative approach to the degree of interfacial debonding, Ju and Lee [9] predicted elastoplastic behavior in terms of interfacial complete debonding between the matrix and fibers using Weibull’s probability distribution function. Zhao and Weng [5, 6] and Ju and Lee [8] also used Weibull’s probability distribution function to predict elastoplastic behavior in terms of interfacial partial debonding between the matrix and fibers.

Because the short fiber reinforced composite shows the behavior of a brittle material with a very short plastic zone, it is more efficient to approach the fracture mechanism after interfacial debonding between the matrix and fibers than to use an effective yield criterion and stress norm [10]. In fracture mechanics, behavior after plastic yielding can be expressed using the size of microcracks and the number of microcracks per unit volume. If stress on the material is higher than crack initiation stress, cracks are nucleated inside the material [12] and grow according to energy balance conditions [13] and the growth rate depends on the Rayleigh wave speed proposed by Freund [11].

In this study, in order to produce more accurate results of simulation reflecting behavioral characteristics related to the plasticity and fracture of short fiber reinforced composites, the effects of elastoplastic behavior and fracture process after interfacial debonding between the matrix and fibers are incorporated in the numerical model, and linked to commercial package, ABAQUS.

2. Damage Mechanism of Short Fiber Reinforced Composites

The short fiber reinforced composites are composed of matrix (Phase 0), perfectly bonded fibers (Phase 1), microcracks (Phase 3), and voids (Phase 4) (see Fig. 1 (a)). When short fiber reinforced composites are subject to remote tensile loading (see Fig. 1 (b)), some fibers may experience partial debonding between the matrix and fibers as deformations proceed. As a result, partially debonded fibers (Phase 2) appear newly in short fiber reinforced composite.

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagrams of short fiber reinforced composite](image)

In order to regard short fiber reinforced composite as an equivalent homogeneous continuum medium, effective Young’s modulus $E_e$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu_e$ can be derived by applying the concept of the representative volume element representing heterogeneous domains and ensemble averaging of the properties of all heterogeneous domains. Effective stiffness tensor is expressed as their function and it is expressed as

$$C_0 = C(E_e, \nu_e)$$

(1)

The degree of moduli ($E^*, \nu^*, G^*$) degraded by crack nucleation and crack growth are derived through differential scheme estimate.
where \( \omega \), \( N \) and \( \sigma \) are crack density, number of microcracks per unit volume and mean crack radius, respectively. Degradation stiffness tensor is a function of degradation Young’s modulus \( E' \) and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu' \) derived from Eq. (2), and it is as

\[
\frac{E}{E'} = \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu'} \right)^{\frac{3}{3-\nu'}} \left( \frac{3-\nu'}{3-\nu} \right)^{\frac{3}{3-\nu}} \left( \frac{3-\nu}{3-\nu'} \right)^{\frac{3}{3-\nu'}}
\]

\[
G = \frac{1+\nu'E'}{1+\nu'E}, \quad (2)
\]

where \( \omega \), \( N \) and \( \sigma \) are microcrack density, number of microcracks per unit volume and mean crack radius, respectively. Degradation stiffness tensor is a function of degradation Young’s modulus \( E' \) and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu' \) derived from Eq. (2), and it is as

\[
\frac{E}{E'} = \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu'} \right)^{\frac{3}{3-\nu'}} \left( \frac{3-\nu'}{3-\nu} \right)^{\frac{3}{3-\nu}} \left( \frac{3-\nu}{3-\nu'} \right)^{\frac{3}{3-\nu'}}
\]

\[
G = \frac{1+\nu'E'}{1+\nu'E}, \quad (2)
\]

\[
N_{v+1} = N_v + \Delta t_{v+1} \beta \cdot \exp \left( \frac{(\sigma_{v+1} - \sigma_0)}{\sigma_1} \right) \quad (6)
\]

where \( N_{v+1} \) is the number of microcracks per unit volume at time \( t = t_{v+1} \). If the volume fraction of partially debonded fibers reaches the maximum value and if current stress is satisfied with crack nucleation rate relationship of Eq. (5), crack nucleation increases in short fiber reinforced composites [4].

Lastly, the crack growth criterion of microcracks can be derived by considering energy balance around the microcracks and damage surfaces \( F(p, q, \bar{\sigma}) \). It can be expressed with pressure \( p \), deviatoric stress \( q \), and mean crack radius \( \bar{\sigma} \) of microcracks.

It is expressed in tension (\( p < 0 \)) as

\[
F^+(p, q, \bar{\sigma}) = q^2 + \frac{45}{4(5-\nu)^2} \left( 2-\nu \right) p^2 - \frac{2K}{\bar{\sigma}} \quad (7)
\]

and in compression (\( p > 0 \)) as

\[
F^-(p, q, \bar{\sigma}) = q^2 - \frac{45}{4(3-2\nu)^2} \left( \mu_p + \sigma_0 + \frac{3K}{\sqrt{\bar{\sigma}}} \right) \frac{K}{\bar{\sigma}} \quad (8)
\]

where \( K \) is defined by plane strain fracture toughness and shear modulus [5]. \( \sigma_0 \) is cohesive stress and \( \mu_p \) is the coefficient of friction. If \( F(p, q, \bar{\sigma}) > 0 \), cracks will grow until the rate of crack growth, \( \bar{\sigma} \), satisfies the requirement \( F(p, q, \bar{\sigma}) \leq 0 \). For the backward Euler method, \( \bar{\sigma} \) can be written in an incremental form as

\[
\bar{\sigma}_{v+1} = \bar{\sigma}_v + \Delta t_{v+1} \beta \left( \frac{E'}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \tanb(d_v) \quad (9)
\]

where \( \bar{\sigma}_{v+1} \) is the mean radius of microcrack at time \( t = t_{v+1} \). \( \beta \) is a scaling factor for crack speed and is assumed to be a constant. \( d_v \) is the measure of the distance the amount by which the state of the stress exceeds the damage surface.

The basic assumption of the fracture mechanics based damage model is that the damaged state of a solid can be described by the number of microcracks per unit volume \( N \) and the mean radius \( \bar{\sigma} \) of microcracks. Using Eqs. (1) - (9), the constitutive relation for short fiber reinforced composites with statistically uniformly distributed microcracks can be expressed as

\[
\sigma = C(\bar{\sigma}, N) : e \quad (10)
\]
where \( \sigma \) is the macroscopic stress, \( C \) is the overall stiffness tensor, \( \varepsilon \) is the macroscopic strain, and " \( : \) " denotes the tensor contraction. The rate form of Eq. (10) can be expressed as

\[
\dot{\sigma} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial N} N : \dot{\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial N} \varepsilon + C : \dot{\varepsilon}
\]  

(11)

The numerical integration algorithm must be employed to integrate the rate equations in Eq. (11). Consequently, the overall macroscopic stress can be written as [14]

\[
\sigma_{\text{int}} = \sigma + C : \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{int}} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial N} \varepsilon + \frac{\partial C}{\partial N} \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{int}} : \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{int}} + \frac{\partial \Delta N}{\partial \Delta N} : \varepsilon_{\text{int}} + \frac{\partial \Delta N}{\partial \Delta N} : \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{int}}
\]  

(12)

where the subscript \( n \) denotes the \( n \)th integration time step.

3. Numerical Damage Model

3.1 Damage Model for Short Fiber Reinforced Composites

Because the short fiber reinforced composites show the behaviors of brittle materials, it is more efficient to approach the damage process after interfacial debonding between the matrix and fibers than to use an effective yield criterion and stress norm.

In the present study, the fracture process of short fiber reinforced composites is composed of an algorithm that crack growth proceeds when the state of interfacial debonding of fibers is confirmed and the volume fraction of partially debonded fibers \( \phi \), which is increased by interfacial debonding, reaches the maximum level. Then, microcracks are nucleated. These assumptions are based on that the interfacial debonding between the matrix and the fibers tends to have partial debonding. It can transmit internal stress into the matrix through the bonded portion and, as a result, cracks do not grow until volume fraction \( \phi \) in Phase 2 reaches the maximum level. In addition, the initial mean radius of microcracks \( \bar{c}_0 \) and the number of initial microcracks per unit volume \( N_0 \) to be considered in the damage process after interfacial debonding are considered as the size and number of microcracks occurring by the interfacial partial debonding between the matrix and fibers. The flow diagram of damage analysis procedure is shown in Fig. 2.

3.2 Equivalent Mean Crack Radius of Partially Debonded Fiber

As mentioned in Section 3.1, the initial mean radius of microcracks \( \bar{c}_0 \) to be considered after interfacial debonding between the matrix and fibers is chosen based on the state of fibers in the matrix. As in Fig. 3, interfacially debonded fiber has microcracks as many as those in the the debonded domain, and the volume \( V_C \) is given as

\[
V_C = 4 \pi \left( a' a_2 \right) = 4 \pi \left( a_2 a_1 \right) \beta (\beta - 1)
\]

(13)

where \( a' = a_1 / a_2 \) is aspect ratio (ratio of length to diameter) of spheroidal microcrack, \( \alpha = a_1 / a_2 \) is aspect ratio of spheroidal fiber, and \( \beta = \alpha / \alpha' \). Using Eq. (13), equivalent mean crack radius \( \bar{c}_0 \) of partially debonded fiber can be calculated as

\[
\bar{c}_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4} a_2 a_1 (\beta - 1)}
\]

(14)

Likewise, the number of initial microcracks per unit volume \( N_0 \) to be considered after interfacial debonding between the matrix and fibers is related to fiber volume fraction \( \phi \) in Phase 2 where interfacial debonding takes place. Then, the relationship is established as

\[
\phi = \frac{V_{d-fiber}}{V_{\text{composite}}} \times \frac{n}{V_{d-fiber}} = V_{d-fiber} \times N_0 \times \beta_{NF}
\]

(15)

where \( V_{d-fiber} \) and \( V_{\text{composite}} \) denote the volume of debonded fiber and the volume of short fiber reinforced composites, respectively. \( \beta_{NF} \) is a scaling factor for the number of microcracks. Consequently,
the number of initial microcracks per unit volume $N_0$ can be calculated as

$$N_0 = \frac{3\phi}{4\pi a^2_0} \beta_{SF}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

3.3 Differential Coefficients for Damage Model

As expressed in Eq. 12., in order to derive a constitutive equation for the damage model of short fiber reinforced composites, stiffness matrix $C_0(E',\nu')$ in Eq. (3), which is a function of degradation Young’s modulus $E'$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu'$, should be expressed in the form of a derived function of mean radius of microcracks $\bar{c}$ and the number of microcracks per unit volume $N$.

$$\frac{\partial C(E',\nu')}{\partial E} = \frac{\partial C_0(E',\nu')}{\partial E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial E} + \frac{\partial C_0(E',\nu')}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial E} + \frac{\partial C_0(E',\nu')}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \nu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$\frac{\partial C(E',\nu')}{\partial N} = \frac{\partial C_0(E',\nu')}{\partial E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial N} + \frac{\partial C_0(E',\nu')}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial N} + \frac{\partial C_0(E',\nu')}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Using Eq. (2), the differential coefficients of Eqs. (17) and (18) are derived as

$$\frac{\partial E'}{\partial \bar{c}} = \frac{\partial E'}{\partial \nu'} \frac{\partial \nu'}{\partial \bar{c}} + \frac{\partial E'}{\partial \bar{c}} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{c}} + \frac{\partial E'}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \bar{c}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

$$\frac{\partial \nu'}{\partial \bar{c}} = \frac{\partial \nu'}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \bar{c}} + \frac{\partial \nu'}{\partial \bar{c}} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial \bar{c}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

3.4 Finite Element Model

A user-defined material subroutine with damage constitutive model composed of the partial debonding of fiber, crack nucleation and crack growth, is implemented into ABAQUS. The subroutine is written in FORTRAN language. The model is composed of the stage of deriving an effective stiffness matrix using input material properties, the stage of evaluating the evolutionary interfacial debonding between the matrix and perfectly boned fibers, the stage of deriving a degradation stiffness matrix caused by the occurrence of microcracks, the stage of confirming the stability of microcracks and calculating the size of growing cracks, and the stage of confirming the occurrence of microcracks and deriving the number of increased cracks.

3.5 Numerical Simulation for Short Fiber Reinforced Composites

The simulations were performed under different conditions by linking the user defined material subroutines (UMAT, VUMAT) formulated in Section 3.4 to ABAQUS 6.5. First, subroutine UMAT was applied in order to understand the overall damage behavior of short fiber reinforced composite. The simulations shown in Figs. 4 ~ 6 were performed to understand the influence of fiber parameters such as fiber volume fraction $\phi$ and aspect ratio of fiber and strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$. In addition, subroutine VUMAT was applied in order to test the effectiveness of damage behavior caused by crushing and simulation was performed for a rectangular tube crushing at high speed as in Fig. 6 in order to understand fracture observed in crushing.
4. Results of Simulation

4.1 Equivalent Mean Crack Radius of Partially Debonded Fiber

Fig. 7 shows the result of simulated stress-strain behavior when initial volume fraction $\phi$ was applied to short fiber reinforced composites. Here, $\phi$ was applied for 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5. With the increase of $\phi$, the stiffness of short fiber reinforced composite increased but its strain of yield decreased. Fig. 8 shows change in volume fraction $\phi$ of partially debonded fiber. According to the figure, when $\phi$ is large, $\phi$ reached the maximum volume fraction at low strain. Fig. 9 shows change in the mean radius of microcracks $c$ as a function of $\phi$. In the figure, when $\phi$ is low, the strain of initial crack growth is somewhat slower than that in fibers of different volume fractions but crack growth is faster when the strain exceeds a specific level. This is an important characteristic explaining the reinforcing function of short fiber. Fig. 10 shows the effect of partially debonded fiber on the elastic behavior with the increase of strain. If partially debonded fibers are not considered, stiffness as well as yield strain increases. Accordingly, if the degradation caused by partially debonded fiber is not considered, the properties of short fiber composites cannot be predicted accurately.

The relationship between the aspect ratio of fiber $\alpha$ and stress-strain behavior is shown in Fig. 11. Here, simulation was performed with varying $\alpha$ from 5 to 10 and 20 while fixing $\phi$ at 0.3. According to the results, with the increase of $\alpha$, stiffness was improved but yield strength decreased. This characteristic can be explained with the results in Fig. 12 that predicted the change in $\sigma$ according to $\alpha$. This is because, with the increase of $\alpha$, the initial mean radius of microcracks $c_0$ caused by partially debonded fiber becomes relatively large and as a result crack growth causing the degradation of stiffness takes place at lower stress. Accordingly, it is very important to find the optimal condition of $\alpha$.

The effectiveness of a damage model formulated in this study was examined by comparing it with experimental data for overall uniaxial tensile response of short fiber reinforced composite [15], and the results are presented in Fig. 13. The behavior was similar to the prediction model. The properties of short fiber composite applied in this research are as in Table 1, and unmentioned properties are the same as Table 2.

The predicted compressive behaviors while changing strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$ from 0.1/s to 0.3/s and 0.5/s, are presented in Fig. 14. When $\dot{\varepsilon}$ was low, damage proceeded at low strain and softening after they reached the ultimate strength were also observed at low strain before fracture. This can be explained with Fig. 15 that predicted change in the mean radius of microcracks $\overline{c}$ is dependent on $\dot{\varepsilon}$. That is, as shown by the results, it is predicted that, when $\varepsilon=0.05$, $\overline{c}$ at $\dot{\varepsilon}=0.1/s$ is 2.5 times larger than that at $\dot{\varepsilon}=0.5/s$. As in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17, Young’s modulus $E^*$ and Poisson’s ratio $\nu^*$ decreased from the moment that $\overline{c}$ began to increase. In this way, crack growth is a major cause of the degradation of short fiber reinforced composite properties. Fig. 18 shows the predicted distance $d$, of damage surface, which is a criterion for determining crack growth.

**Fig. 6.** Crushing simulation condition and geometry

**Table 1.** Properties of short fiber reinforced composite for numerical simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties of short fiber reinforced composite</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young's modulus of matrix, $E_x$, MPa</td>
<td>3e3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young's modulus of fiber, $E_f$, MPa</td>
<td>72e3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's ratio of matrix, $\nu_x$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's ratio of matrix, $\nu_f$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter of Weibull's statistics function, $S_0$, MPa</td>
<td>163.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter of Weibull's statistics function, $M$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio of spheroid fiber, $\alpha$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of composite, $\rho$, tonne/mm$^3$</td>
<td>1.4e-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume fraction of fiber, $\phi$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of friction, $\mu_f$</td>
<td>1.0e10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold stress for the nucleation of microcracks, $\sigma_{nc}$, MPa</td>
<td>1e4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesive stress, $\sigma_0$, MPa</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling factor of crack speed, $\beta$</td>
<td>1e-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental stress for crack nucleation, $\sigma_1$, MPa</td>
<td>2e3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plane strain fracture toughness, $K_{IC}$, Pa.m</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The strain from which $d_r$ begins to become larger than 0 is coincident with the strain from which cracks begin to grow.

The initial mean radius of microcracks $\bar{r}_0$ and number of initial microcracks per unit volume $N_0$ observed in the interfacial debonding of fiber were predicted to be 0.0172mm and 97.615 (ea/mm3), respectively, when $\phi=0.3$ and $\alpha=5$.

In order to understand phenomena observed in actual impact conditions, a simulation was performed and the result is presented in Fig. 19. It was predicted that major fracture and strain take place on the front of the tube.

![Fig. 7. Predicted tensile stress vs. strain for fiber volume fraction $\phi$ of 0.1, 0.3, 0.](image)

![Fig. 8. Predicted evolution of volume fraction $\Phi_2$ for partially debonded fiber vs. strain for fiber volume fraction $\phi$ of 0.1, 0.3, 0.5](image)

![Fig. 9. Predicted evolutions of mean crack size $\bar{r}$ vs. strain for fiber volume fraction $\phi$ of 0.1, 0.3, 0.5](image)

![Fig. 10. Effect of partial debonding on the elastic behavior](image)

![Fig. 11. Predicted tensile stress vs. strain for fiber aspect ratio $\alpha$ of 5, 10, 20](image)
Fig. 12. Predicted evolutions of mean crack size $\bar{c}$ vs. strain for fiber aspect ratio $\alpha$ of 5, 10, 20

Fig. 13. Comparison between the present prediction and experimental data [15]

Fig. 14. Predicted compressive stress vs. strain for strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ of 0.1/s, 0.3/s, 0.5/s

Fig. 15. Predicted evolutions of mean crack radius $\bar{c}$ vs. strain for strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ of 0.1/s, 0.3/s, 0.5/s

Fig. 16. Predicted effective Young's modulus $E^*$ vs. strain for strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ of 0.1/s, 0.3/s, 0.5/s

Fig. 17. Predicted effective Poisson's ratio $\nu^*$ vs. strain for strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$ of 0.1/s, 0.3/s, 0.5/s
Fig. 18. The predicted distance from damage surface vs. strain for strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$ of 0.1/s, 0.3/s, 0.5/s.

Table 2. Properties of short fiber reinforced composites for comparison with experimental data [15, 7]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties of short fiber reinforced composite</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter of Weibull's statistics function, $S_s$, MPa</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume fraction of fiber, $\phi$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio of spheroid fiber, $\alpha$</td>
<td>19.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

In the present study, the fracture process of short fiber reinforced composites was predicted by a numerical analysis method. A theoretical damage model was formulated using user-defined material subroutines (UMAT, VUMAT) of ABAQUS, and it was linked to the analysis procedure of ABAQUS in order to understand impact damage behavior. From this study, it is found that it is effective to predict elastoplastic behavior of short fiber reinforced composite with a short plastic area using the size of microcracks occurring in interfacial debonding and the number of microcracks per unit volume. Using these findings, a constitutive equation is formulated more easily and effectively than using effective yield functions and stress norm.

In addition, it was found that the mechanical properties of short fiber reinforced composites are heavily affected by the volume fraction and aspect ratio of fiber, and that partially debonded fiber appearing newly in the behavioral process enables the effective prediction of stiffness and plastic strain and determines the size and number of initial cracks, so it must be considered in the damage model. In addition, impact simulation showed that short fiber reinforced composites are very sensitive to strain rate, namely, impact velocity and this suggests that it is most vulnerable to low-velocity impact.
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